BEFORE THE APPEALS BOARD FOR THE KANSAS DIVISION OF WORKERS COMPENSATION

DANIEL R. CORRAL Claimant VS.	
j	Docket No. 186,411
SARP MENTAL HEALTH CENTER) Respondent)	
AND (
WAUSAU UNDERWRITERS INSURANCE CO. Insurance Carrier	
AND)	
KANSAS WORKERS COMPENSATION FUND	

ORDER

ON the June 16, 1994, the application of the respondent for review of an Order entered by Administrative Law Judge James R. Ward, dated April 7, 1994, came on for oral argument.

APPEARANCES

The claimant appeared by and through his attorney, George H. Pearson of Topeka, Kansas. The respondent and insurance carrier appeared by and through their attorney, James C. Wright of Topeka, Kansas. The Workers Compensation Fund appeared by and through their attorney, Matthew Crowley of Topeka, Kansas.

RECORD

The record considered included the transcript of the Preliminary Hearing of March 21, 1994, the transcript of the continuation of the preliminary hearing dated March 24, 1994, exhibits attached to each and pleadings filed in this claim.

ISSUES

The respondent appeals from preliminary hearing order which requires respondent to provide psychological treatment at the direction of Dr. Timothy R. Rot and required the respondent to pay temporary total benefits beginning December 19, 1993. On appeal respondent states that the issues are:

- (1) Whether claimant met with personal injury by accident arising out of and in the course of his employment;
- (2) Whether the administrative law judge has jurisdiction to order that a specific psychologist provide the treatment;
- (3) Whether psychological therapy ordered was related to claimant's alleged accident;
- (4) Whether respondent should have been allowed to select the provider of psychological therapy or allowed to provide a list of three names pursuant to K.S.A. 44-510(14)(c)(1).

Claimant has moved to dismiss the Appeal on grounds that the selection process for appointment of the Appeals Board is unconstitutional.

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Claimant's motion to dismiss is denied. The Appeals Board is acting, pursuant to statute enacted by the Kansas Legislature, K.S.A. 44-555g, and presumed to be constitutional. <u>Blue v. McBride</u>, 252 Kan. 894, 850 P.2d 852 (1993). Unless a court of competent jurisdiction rules that the presumption is overcome, the Appeals Board will continue to carry out its statutory responsibilities.

On appeals from preliminary orders the jurisdiction of the Appeals Board is limited to appeals is alleging that the Administrative Law Judge has exceeded his or her jurisdiction. K.S.A. 44-551. Jurisdictional issues which are subject to review on appeal from preliminary orders include those listed in K.S.A. 44-534a. Among those is the first issue listed by the respondent in this case, i.e. whether claimant met with personal injury by accident arising out of and in the course of his employment. After reviewing the record, including the medical reports, the Appeals Board finds that the evidence does support claimant's allegation that he has suffered an aggravation of a pre-existing carpal tunnel condition as a result of work duties including the charting of patients for respondent.

With regard to the second and fourth issues listed by the respondent, the Appeals Board has previously found that an Administrative Law Judge has jurisdictional authority to order a specific health care provider. This is a not a circumstance involving change of physicians as suggested by reference to K.S.A. 44-510(14)(c)(1). Accordingly the decision to name Dr. Timothy Rot is hereby also affirmed.

Finally, the decision regarding whether the psychological condition is related to the physical injury is not one which alleges a jurisdiction issue. Under Kansas Law psychological treatment may be ordered if the psychological problems are directly traceable to the physical injury. This requires a determination which is one step removed

IT IS SO ORDERED

from the decision regarding whether claimant suffered an accidental injury arising out of and in the course of his employment. The issue relates instead to the nature and extent of claimant's injury. Accordingly that issue is not subject to review on appeal from the preliminary orders. See <u>Cunningham v. Michael E. Michael, D.D.S.</u>, Docket No. 177,523 (April 1994).

The decision of Administrative Law Judge is, therefore, affirmed in all respects.

AWARD

WHEREFORE it is the finding, decision, and order of the Appeals Board that the Preliminary Hearing Order of Administrative Law Judge James R. Ward, dated April 7, 1994, should be, and is hereby, affirmed.

II IO OO ONDENED.	
Dated this day of October,	1994.
	BOARD MEMBER
	BOARD MEMBER
	BOARD MEMBER

CC:

George H. Pearson, Attorney at Law, 3401 SW Harrison, Suite 104, Topeka, KS 66611 James C. Wright, Attorney at Law, 534 S. Kansas Avenue, Suite 1400, Topeka, KS 66603-3408

Matthew S. Crowley, Attorney at Law, PO Box 4306, Topeka, KS 66604

James R. Ward, Administrative Law Judge George Gomez, Director